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Abstract: This technical study deals with the experimental evaluation of four different safety gloves, used in Pakistan for protection of hands. The samples were prepared and tested according to their standard test methods EN-388. The samples for EN388 were subjected to three physical tests; puncture resistance (N), abrasion resistance (cycles), tear resistance (N). The results revealed that the performance levels of synthetic leather/PVC gloves were found higher than other gloves.
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1. INTRODUCTION
The protective gloves are used for the protection of hands at work places such as any type of mechanical/chemical risks safety. These gloves are being made from different synthetic materials or polymers. The synthetic rubber, polymers, textile fibres, synthetic leather fibres, and several other polymeric materials are used in the manufacturing of gloves [1].

The selection of appropriate gloves is a customer demand according to use internationally. No significant work has been found on evaluation of protective gloves. Although, the differences in the mechanical behavior between the manufacturers and glove materials types has been reported earlier studies [2-5]. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the performance level of different gloves samples which are being currently prepared in Pakistan.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS
Four types of leather gloves were selected for evaluation in this study as mentioned in table 1. The samples were tested according to Method EN:388. European EN 388 standard is designed to evaluate the performance of a fabric or layers of fabric for their ability to resist rubbing by weights, cutting by a blade or sharp object, tearing resistance, and puncture by a pointed object. The brief of three methods which were used in this study given below.

2.1 Abrasion Resistance
The sample is subjected to abrasion with sandpaper by a determined pressure. The protection level is awarded on a scale of 1 to 4 depending on the number of turns required until a break appears in the sample.

2.2 Tearing Strength
The force required to tear the glove material separately is measured. The performance level is indicated by a number between 1 and 4 based on tearing force.

2.3 Puncture Resistance
This test is required to measure the force to puncture the material with a tip. The performance level is between 1 and 4, where 4 indicates the strongest material.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
In this study, four different glove samples were collected from different exporters used in Pakistan and tested according to the standard method as described in material and methods. According to European Union Standard a protective glove against mechanical risks shall have a performance level of 1 or above for at least one of the properties i.e abrasion, tear and puncture for assigning of level as per performance results against each property [6].

The two categories are being used for the selection of gloves. The category 1 is usually used for the common house hold gloves for cleaning purpose while the category 2 is used for the protection of hands from mechanical risks for independents. The performance level for category 2 required at least following levels; the abrasion resistance min level 2,
blade cut resistance min level 1, puncture resistance min level 2, tear resistance min level 2. We have performed three tests for EN 388 for all samples as shown in table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sample description/Material Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Leather gloves | Black colour Artificial leather Cirino (Polyester)  
Palm side: Black Colour Artificial Leather  
Back Side: black colour Polyester fabric  
Wrist: Velcro closure |
| High Impact Protection Mechanical glove | PVC grip material /Kevlar /HiViz spandex/ Thermo plastic Rubber |
| Motor cycle Gloves | Palm: Brown color Artificial leather (polyester)  
Back side: Black color Lycra Polyester fabric  
Wrist: Velcro closure |
| High Impact Protection Mechanical glove | Synthetic Leather/PVC grip /Kevlar /HiViz spandex/ Thermo plastic Rubber |

Table 2: Results of Protective Gloves (EN 388:2003): EN 388:2016(EN 13594:2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Puncture Resistance (N)</th>
<th>Abrasion Resistance (cycles)</th>
<th>Tear Resistance (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample 1</td>
<td>40.08±5.26 (level 1)</td>
<td>Break at 750 cycles (level 2)</td>
<td>52.16±1.66 (level 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 2</td>
<td>45.35±6.96 (level 1)</td>
<td>Break at 3940 cycles (level 3)</td>
<td>106.37±1.20 (level 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 3</td>
<td>46.66±2.96 (level 1)</td>
<td>Break at 1137 cycles (level 2)</td>
<td>65.83±2.30 (level 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 4</td>
<td>38.98±5.08 (level 1)</td>
<td>Break at 4000 cycles (level 3)</td>
<td>104.79±2.10 (level 4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results proved that the highest abrasion was observed in sample four which was synthetic leather/PVC glove. The PVC or synthetic glove shown lower puncture values as compared to other samples PVC is a thermoplastic polymer and does not show a tactile property. The differences in all three tests were observed which is due to the change of gloves materials. These results suggest that the selection of glove samples varied from material to material. However, the performance level for category 2 required at least following levels; the abrasion resistance min level 2, puncture resistance min level 2, tear resistance min level 2.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Although, this study is very limited but it will be helpful for various gloves users to literate about basic knowledge. The efficiency of glove is varied from sample to sample. The selection of material of glove is important according to specific use. The quality of a glove directly related to the manufacturer of glove basic material. Each glove should be evaluated with CE-mark with pictogram (symbol) showing the protection against risk, e.g., chemicals, microorganisms, heat as well as other mechanical risks.
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